

TEEN PARENT PROGRAM
FISCAL YEAR 2003
SIX MONTH UPDATE (OCTOBER 2002 - MARCH 2003)

Data Prepared by
Policy Analysis and Program Evaluation Division
Michigan Family Independence Agency

June 2003

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Michigan Family Independence Agency's (MFIA) on-going evaluation/monitoring of the Teen Parent Program began October 1, 1994. This document represents the first six-month update for FY 02-03 (i.e., October 2002 through March 2003) and is comprised of fifteen tables, highlights of which are presented below.

- During this six-month period, 655 new participants entered the program, with 14.8% of the participants being referred to the program by their local FIA offices.
- In terms of race/ethnicity,
 - 65.4% of the participants were African American.
 - 27.5% of the participants were white.
 - 5.5% of the participants were Hispanic.
 - 0.6% of the participants were Native American.
- Providers have the option of providing services to teen fathers. A number of sites have exercised this option, with males comprising 8.2% of the recent participants.
- The average age of this group of participants was 18.18 years.
- 96.9% of the participants were single.
- 51.6% of the participants were pregnant (or pregnant and parenting) upon entering the program, with 94.3% of those participating in prenatal care at that time.
- 55.4% of the teens were parenting (or pregnant and parenting), with 80.4% of them parenting one child, 16.3% parenting two children, and 2.2% parenting three children.
- On average, the highest grade completed by the teens was 10.0.
- At the time of entering the program (note, duplicate responses were possible: e.g., a person could be identified as being in GED training and school simultaneously),
 - 49.6% of the participants were enrolled in school.
 - 5.6% of the participants were enrolled in GED training.
 - 4.5% of the participants were GED holders.
 - 12.1% of the participants were high school graduates.
- 14.4% of the participants were employed at the time they entered the program, averaging 25 hours of work a week at an average hourly rate of \$6.33.
- 33.3% of the participants were not involved in education or employment activities at the time they entered the program.

TEEN PARENT PROGRAM

Fiscal Year 2003

Six Month Update

October 2002 - March 2003

The Michigan Family Independence Agency's (MFIA) on-going evaluation/monitoring of the Teen Parent Program (TPP) began October 1, 1994. This document represents the first six-month update for FY 02-03. Specifically, the following tables summarize intake information about those individuals who entered the program during the first **six** months of fiscal year 2003, namely, October 2002 through March 2003.

The program continues to operate via twenty-one sites (21) in eighteen (18) counties. The specific counties being served by the program include Berrien, Calhoun, Chippewa, Clare, Genesee, Ingham, Jackson, Kalamazoo¹, Kent, Lake, Montcalm, Muskegon, Newaygo, Ogemaw, Oakland, Saginaw, Van Buren, and Wayne, which is home to four (4) sites.

PART I: ENTRANCE INTO THE PROGRAM

Table 1 presents the total number of participants who entered the teen parent program between October 1, 2002, and March 31, 2003. During this six-month period, 655 new participants entered the program.

Table 1
NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS

NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS	MONTH							FY03 YTD ²	FY02 TOTAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
Number of Participants Entering the Program During the Month	119	101	81	121	125	108	655	655	1416

¹ The program associated with Kalamazoo County began enrolling participants November 2001.

² In addition to these 655 new cases entering the program during the first six months of fiscal year 2003, there were 1,014 active carry-over/ongoing cases that were receiving services at the start of the fiscal year (i.e., cases that opened prior to October 1, 2002, and remained open as of the start of FY02-03). Source: Teen Parent Program Semi-Annual Monitoring Report (October 2002).

Table 2 identifies the sources responsible for referring the participants to the program. Referrals received from the Family Independence Agency (FIA) were to be given top priority. As can be seen, 14.8% (97) of the referrals during this six month period were from the FIA. This was surpassed by referrals from some “other” source (see footnote, below, for details regarding “other” referral sources), which accounted for 39.5% (259) of the referrals. Meanwhile, rounding out the top three referral sources was “school” which accounted for 12.5% (82) of the referrals. The remaining 33.2% of the individuals were referred to the program by such sources as health care provider, public/community health agencies, community agencies, and mental health agencies.

Table 2
REFERRAL SOURCE

REFERRAL SOURCE	MONTH							FY03 YTD	FY02 TOTAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
FIA	21	11	11	21	17	16	97 (14.8%)	97 (14.8%)	234 (16.5%)
Health Care Provider	15	13	9	12	12	11	72 (11.0%)	72 (11.0%)	124 (8.8%)
Public/Community Health	7	15	4	12	13	14	65 (9.9%)	65 (9.9%)	166 (11.7%)
Community Agency	14	11	13	13	9	18	78 (11.9%)	78 (11.9%)	196 (13.9%)
Mental Health	0	2	0	0	0	0	2 (0.3%)	2 (0.3%)	3 (0.2%)
School	13	5	14	15	18	17	82 (12.5%)	82 (12.5%)	207 (14.6%)
Other ³	49	44	30	48	56	32	259 (39.5%)	259 (39.5%)	484 (34.2%)
TOTALS	119	101	81	121	125	108	655 (100.0%) ⁴	655 (100.0%)	1414 (100.0%)
Missing ⁵	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2

³ "Other" responses given included the following: self, friend, relative, mother of another teen parent, girlfriend (to male participant), another program participant, was a former program participant, word of mouth, social worker, the TPP agency, court system (e.g., friend of the court), foster care worker, foster mother, "Early-On", "Healthy Families America", "United Life Styles", "Infants, Fathers, Mothers", Gentiva (health care transportation service), 211 Non-Emergency number, yellow pages, flyer, TV, health fair, etc.

⁴ In this and subsequent tables, total may not equal 100.0% due to rounding error.

⁵ Missing, in this and subsequent tables, refers to information that was unavailable at time of reporting.

PART II: PARTICIPANT DEMOGRAPHICS

Table 3 presents the racial/ethnic breakdown of participants entering the program during the first six months of fiscal year 2003. Accordingly, 65.4% (428) of the individuals were African American, 27.5% (180) were white, 5.5% (36) were Hispanic, and 0.6% (4) were Native American. The “other” responses served to identify six individuals as multi-racial.

Table 3
RACE/ETHNICITY

RACE/ETHNICITY	MONTH							FY03 YTD	FY02 TOTAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
White	30	41	17	29	38	25	180 (27.5%)	180 (27.5%)	390 (27.6%)
African American	78	55	59	82	80	74	428 (65.4%)	428 (65.4%)	915 (64.7%)
Native American	1	1	1	0	0	1	4 (0.6%)	4 (0.6%)	14 (1.0%)
Hispanic	9	2	4	8	7	6	36 (5.5%)	36 (5.5%)	67 (4.7%)
Other	1	2	0	1	0	2	6 (0.9%)	6 (0.9%)	28 (2.0%)
TOTALS	119	101	81	120	125	108	654 (100.0%)	654 (100.0%)	1414 (100.0%)
Missing	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	2

Providers have the option of providing services to teen fathers. **Table 4** presents the gender breakdown of participants entering the program during the **first** six months of fiscal year 2003. Accordingly, 91.8% (601) of the individuals were female, and 8.2% (54) were male.

Table 4
GENDER

	MONTH							FY03 YTD	FY02 TOTAL
GENDER	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
Female	109	96	74	113	112	97	601 (91.8%)	601 (91.8%)	1294 (91.4%)
Male	10	5	7	8	13	11	54 (8.2%)	54 (8.2%)	122 (8.6%)
TOTALS	119	101	81	121	125	108	655 (100.0%)	655 (100.0%)	1416 (100.0%)

Table 5 displays the age distribution of participants entering the program during the first six months of fiscal year 2003, with the overall average age being 18.18 years. For those participants entering the program during the months of October, November, and December 2002, age was calculated as of December 31, 2002, with the average age being 18.30 years. Meanwhile, for those who entered during the months of January, February and March 2003, age was calculated as of March 31, 2003, with the average age being 18.07 years.

Table 5
AGE DISTRIBUTION OF PARTICIPANTS

AGE DISTRIBUTION OF PARTICIPANTS	MONTH							FY03 YTD	FY02 TOTAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
Twelve	0	0	0	0	0	1	1 (0.2%)	1 (0.2%)	2 (0.1%)
Thirteen	0	0	0	1	2	1	4 (0.6%)	4 (0.6%)	11 (0.8%)
Fourteen	2	5	4	2	4	23	20 (3.1%)	20 (3.1%)	39 (2.8%)
Fifteen	6	9	7	11	5	11	49 (7.7%)	49 (7.7%)	100 (7.2%)
Sixteen	12	12	10	22	11	16	83 (13.0%)	83 (13.0%)	204 (14.7%)
Seventeen	30	19	15	27	32	32	155 (24.3%)	155 (24.3%)	323 (23.3%)
Eighteen	32	17	19	15	28	16	127 (19.9%)	127 (19.9%)	287 (20.3%)
Nineteen	16	16	13	19	21	10	95 (14.9%)	95 (14.9%)	242 (17.4%)
Twenty	12	12	8	16	15	11	74 (11.6%)	74 (11.6%)	134 (9.7%)
Twenty-one and older	4	9	4	7	3	4	31 (4.9%)	31 (4.9%)	45 (3.2%)
TOTALS	114	99	80	120	121	105	639 (100.0%)	639 (100.0%)	1387 (100.0%)
Missing	5	2	1	1	4	3	16	16	29

Table 6 displays the breakdown of age by gender. The average female participant was 17.99 years old, and the average male participant was 20.37 years old.

Table 6
AGE BY GENDER⁶

AGE BY GENDER	FIRSTSIX MONTHS - FISCAL YEAR 03				FY03 % YTD (N)	FY02 % (Total) (N)
	% 16 Years and Under	% 17 Years	% 18 Years and Over	Totals (N)		
Female	97.5	97.4	86.9	92.0 (588)	92.0 (588)	92.0 (1276)
Male	2.4	2.6	13.1	8.0 (51)	8.0 (51)	8.0 (111)
TOTALS (N)	100.0 (157)	100.0 (155)	100.0 (327)	100.0 (639)	100.0 (639)	100.0 (1387)

⁶For the first six months of FY03, there were sixteen cases for which information about age was missing. Meanwhile, for FY02, there were twenty-nine cases for which information about age was missing.

Table 7 displays the marital status of the participants. Accordingly, 96.9% (633) were single, 2.9% (19) were married, and one participant (0.2%) was divorced.

Of the nineteen individuals who were married, fourteen were white, three were African American, and two were Hispanic. In terms of age, one was sixteen years old or younger, four were seventeen years old, and fourteen were eighteen years old or older. In terms of gender, seventeen were females and two were males.

Table 7
MARITAL STATUS

MARITAL STATUS	MONTH							FY03 YTD	FY02 TOTAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
Single	117	92	78	119	121	106	633 (96.9%)	633 (96.9%)	1361 (96.2%)
Married	2	8	2	1	4	2	19 (2.9%)	19 (2.9%)	51 (3.6%)
Divorced	0	0	1	0	0	0	1 (0.2%)	1 (0.2%)	2 (0.1%)
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 (0.1%)
TOTALS	119	100	81	120	125	108	653 (100.0%)	653 (100.0%)	1415 (100.0%)
Missing	0	1	0	1	0	0	2	2	1

PART III: PREGNANCY AND PARENTING INFORMATION

Table 8 reveals the number of participants who were pregnant, parenting, or pregnant and parenting at time of intake. Accordingly, 44.6% (292) were pregnant, 48.4% (317) were parenting, and 7.0% (46) were pregnant and parenting upon entering the program.

Table 8
PREGNANCY/PARENTING STATUS

PREGNANCY/PARENTING STATUS AT TIME OF INTAKE	MONTH							FY03 YTD	FY02 TOTAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
Pregnant	51	43	35	59	57	47	292 (44.6%)	292 (44.6%)	609 (43.1%)
Parenting	59	46	39	56	62	55	317 (48.4%)	317 (48.4%)	673 (47.9%)
Pregnant and Parenting	9	12	7	6	6	6	46 (7.0%)	46 (7.0%)	132 (9.3%)
TOTALS	119	101	81	121	125	108	655 (100.0%)	655 (100.0%)	1414 (100.0%)
Missing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2

Meanwhile, of those pregnant upon entering the program, 94.3% were receiving prenatal care at that time, as shown in **Table 8A** below:

Table 8A
PRENATAL CARE

IF PARTICIPANT WAS PREGNANT AT TIME OF INTAKE, WAS SHE RECEIVING PRENATAL CARE?	MONTH							FY03 YTD	FY02 TOTAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
Yes	55	52	39	61	56	49	312 (94.3%)	312 (94.3%)	698 (95.2%)
No	2	2	2	3	7	3	19 (5.7%)	19 (5.7%)	35 (4.8%)
TOTALS	57	54	41	64	63	52	331 (100.0%)	331 (100.0%)	733 (100.0%)
Missing	3	1	1	1	0	1	7	7	8

In addition, the status of those parenting (or pregnant and parenting) may be further broken down in terms of the number of children they had at time of intake. These data are displayed in tables 8B and 8C. With respect to ages of the children, 73.7% (323) were one year or younger, 11.0% (48) were two years old, 9.4% (41) were three years old, 4.1% (18) were four years old, and 1.8% (8) were five years old or older.

According to **Table 8B**, 81.5% (256) of those parenting had one child, 15.9% (50) had two children, 2.2% (7) had three children and 0.3% (1) had five children.

Table 8B
OF THOSE PARENTING, NUMBER OF CHILDREN

OF THOSE PARENTING AT TIME OF INTAKE, NUMBER OF CHILDREN:	MONTH							FY03 YTD	FY02 TOTAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
One	47	34	28	45	52	50	256 (81.5%)	256 (81.5%)	551 (82.4%)
Two	9	9	9	10	8	5	50 (15.9%)	50 (15.9%)	102 (15.2%)
Three	3	2	1	1	0	0	7 (2.2%)	7 (2.2%)	15 (2.2%)
Four	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 (0.1%)
Five	0	1	0	0	0	0	1 (0.3%)	1 (0.3%)	0 (0.0%)
TOTALS	59	46	38	56	60	55	314 (100.0%)	314 (100.0%)	669 (100.0%)
Missing	0	0	1	0	2	0	3	3	4

Similarly, **Table 8C** reveals that 78.3% (36) of the individuals who were pregnant and parenting had one child, 19.6% (9) had two children, and 2.2% (1) had three children.

Table 8C
OF THOSE PREGNANT AND PARENTING, NUMBER OF CHILDREN

IF PARTICIPANT WAS PREGNANT & PARENTING AT TIME OF INTAKE, NUMBER OF CHILDREN:	MONTH							FY03 YTD	FY02 TOTAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
One	8	7	6	4	5	6	36 (78.3%)	36 (78.3%)	110 (83.3%)
Two	1	5	0	2	1	0	9 (19.6%)	9 (19.6%)	17 (12.9%)
Three	0	0	1	0	0	0	1 (2.2%)	1 (2.2%)	5 (3.8%)
TOTALS	9	12	7	6	6	6	46 (100.0%)	46 (100.0%)	132 (100.0%)

PART IV: EDUCATIONAL AND EMPLOYMENT STATUS

Tables 9 and 10 reveal the participants' educational and employment status at time of intake. Note that, on average, the highest grade completed by the participants upon entering the program was 10.0.

A. School

The 318 individuals (49.6%) enrolled in school may further be described in the following manner:

- Twenty-three individuals were enrolled in both school and GED training.
- Two individuals had a GED certificate.
- Thirteen teens had a high school diploma.
- Thirty-five teens were working and going to school.
- On average, the highest grade completed by this group of individuals was 9.7.
- In terms of age, 38.8% were sixteen years old or younger, 29.5% were seventeen years old, and 31.7% were eighteen years old or older.

The 323 individuals (50.4%) who were not enrolled in school may further be described in the following manner:

- Sixty-five teens had a high school diploma.
- Twenty-seven participants had a GED certificate.
- Thirteen individuals were in GED training.
- Fifty-six teens were employed.
- On average, the highest grade completed by this group of individuals was 10.3.
- In terms of age, 11.1% were sixteen years old or younger, 18.4% were seventeen years old, and 70.5% were eighteen years old or older.

Of the fourteen cases for whom information about school enrollment was missing, eight were similarly missing responses to the remaining questions regarding education and employment. Five cases, while missing information about school enrollment, had a mix of missing and/or negative responses to the remaining questions regarding education and employment. Meanwhile, one case, while missing information about school enrollment and other educational pursuits, did indicate employment.

B. GED Training

Of the thirty-six individuals in GED training, twenty-three were also in school and seven were working. In terms of age, 5.6% were sixteen years old or younger, 30.6% were seventeen years old, and 63.9% were eighteen years old or older.

C. GED Certificate

Twenty-nine individuals were identified as having a GED certificate, two of who were continuing their education and five of who were working. Note: one individual was also identified as having earned a high school diploma.

D. High School Diploma

The seventy-eight individuals who had a high school diploma may further be described in the following manner:

- Thirteen teens were continuing their education.
- Twenty-four teens were working.
- One teen also had a GED certificate.

The 566 individuals who did not have a high school diploma may further be described in the following manner:

- 304 teens were enrolled in school.
- Thirty-six teens were in GED training (including twenty-three who were also identified as being enrolled in school).
- Twenty-eight teens, while lacking a diploma, did have a GED certificate.
- Sixty-six individuals, who lacked a high school diploma, were working at the time they entered the program.

For 218 individuals, or 33.3% of those who entered the program during the first six months of fiscal year 2003, negative responses were received for each question regarding education **and** employment. In other words, they were neither enrolled in school nor GED training, lacked a GED certificate or high school diploma, and were not employed. In terms of age, 16.4% of these individuals were sixteen years old or younger, 24.3% were seventeen years old, and 59.3% were eighteen years old or older.

Table 9
EDUCATIONAL STATUS AT INTAKE

PARTICIPANT'S EDUCATIONAL STATUS AT TIME OF INTAKE	MONTH							FY03 YTD	FY02 TOTAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
A. Was the participant in school at intake?									
Yes	53	44	41	65	59	56	318 (49.6%)	318 (49.6%)	658 (47.4%)
No	62	56	38	54	62	51	323 (50.4%)	323 (50.4%)	729 (52.6%)
TOTALS (Missing)	115 (4)	100 (1)	79 (2)	119 (2)	121 (4)	107 (1)	641 (14) (100.0%)	641 (14) (100.0%)	1387(29) (100.0%)
B. Was the participant in GED training?	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS	03 YTD	02 Total
Yes	9	2	4	4	10	7	36 (5.6%)	36 (5.6%)	65 (4.7%)
No	106	98	75	115	111	100	605 (94.4%)	605 (94.4%)	1324 (95.3%)
TOTALS (Missing)	115 (4)	100 (1)	79 (2)	119 (2)	121 (4)	107 (1)	641 (14) (100.0%)	641 (14) (100.0%)	1389 (27) (100.0%)
C. Did the participant have a GED?	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS	03 YTD	02 Total
Yes	5	6	7	3	5	3	29 (4.5%)	29 (4.5%)	5729 (4.1%)
No	112	94	72	116	117	104	615 (95.5%)	615 (95.5%)	1335 (95.9%)
TOTALS (Missing)	117 (2)	100 (1)	79 (2)	119 (2)	122 (3)	107 (1)	644 (11) (100.0%)	644 (11) (100.0%)	1392 (24) (100.0%)
D. Did the participant have a hs diploma?	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS	03 YTD	02 Total
Yes	14	13	10	11	21	9	78 (12.1%)	78 (12.1%)	191 (13.7%)
No	103	87	70	108	101	97	566 (87.9%)	566 (87.9%)	1201 (86.3%)
TOTALS (Missing)	117 (2)	100 (1)	80 (1)	119 (2)	122 (3)	106 (2)	644 (11) (100.0%)	644 (11) (100.0%)	1392 (24) (100.0%)

Table 10 indicates the number of participants who were employed at time of intake. Accordingly, 14.4% (92) had a job upon entering the teen parent program, whereas 85.6% (549) of the individuals were unemployed.

Table 10
EMPLOYMENT STATUS

WAS THE PARTICIPANT WORKING AT TIME OF INTAKE?	MONTH							FY03 YTD	FY02 TOTAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
Yes	16	17	12	9	17	21	92 (14.4%)	92 (14.4%)	232 (16.7%)
No	97	84	67	110	105	86	549 (85.6%)	549 (85.6%)	1157 (83.3%)
TOTALS	113	101	79	119	122	107	641 (100.0%)	641 (100.0%)	1389 (100.0%)
Missing	6	0	2	2	3	1	14	14	27

For the 92 teens employed at time of entry into the program, the average weekly hours worked was 25.2 and the average hourly wage was \$6.33. In addition, the average age of those employed was 19.23 years. Furthermore,

- Eighty-one (88.0%) of those employed were females, representing 13.5% of the females entering the program during this six month period. Meanwhile, eleven (12.0%) of those employed were male, representing 20.4% of the males entering the program.
- Twenty-four individuals had a high school diploma (five of whom were also continuing their education).
- Five teens had a GED certificate.
- Seven teens were in GED training (three of who was also identified as enrolled in school).
- Thirty-five individuals were in school (three of who was also in GED training, and five of who had a diploma).
- Twenty-eight teens were working, but were not in school or GED training, nor did they have a diploma or GED.
- One individual was missing information about education

The 549 individuals who were not working at time of program entry may further be described in the following manner:

- Of the teens not working, 281 were enrolled in school (including nineteen who were also in GED training, seven who had a high school diploma, and three who had a GED certificate).
- Twenty-nine teens were in GED training (nineteen of who were also identified as being enrolled in school).
- Fifty-four individuals had a high school diploma (seven of whom were also continuing their education).
- Twenty-four teens had a GED certificate (three of who were also identified as continuing their education).

PART V: LIVING ARRANGEMENT

Table 11, on the following page, presents the participants' living arrangements upon entering the program. As indicated, 51.0% of the individuals who entered the program during the first six months of FY03 resided with their parent(s). This was followed by 12.6% living with other relative(s), and 7.4% living with their partner. The remaining 29.0% was scattered throughout the remaining available responses.

Table 12, on page 19, presents a breakdown of living arrangements in terms of age. For example, 76.3% of those teens aged sixteen years or younger were residing with their parent(s) upon entering the program. Meanwhile, 52.3% of those aged seventeen and 38.8% of those aged eighteen or older were living with their parents.

- All totaled, 93.6% of those teens aged sixteen or younger resided with a parent, legal guardian, other relative, or in formal placement. Similarly, 76.2% of those aged seventeen resided with a parent, legal guardian, other relative, or in formal placement.
- In Table 11 and Table 12, "other" responses given included living with: friend, godmother, partner (in friend's home), partner's family's home without partner, back and forth between parent's home and home of father of the baby, back and forth between grandmother's home and boyfriend's home, in family's home with spouse, in spouse's family's home (with spouse), baby's paternal grandmother, transitional living program, shelter, juvenile detention, unknown living arrangement, etc.

Table 11
LIVING ARRANGEMENT

WHAT WAS THE PARTICIPANT'S LIVING ARRANGEMENT AT TIME OF INTAKE?	MONTH							FY03 YTD	FY02 TOTAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
w/Parents	52	50	45	65	66	55	333 (51.0%)	333 (51.0%)	756 (53.7%)
w/Guardian	5	5	0	7	3	6	26 (4.0%)	26 (4.0%)	58 (4.1%)
w/Other relative	20	8	16	12	13	13	82 (12.6%)	82 (12.6%)	156 (11.1%)
w/Partner	10	12	4	7	9	6	48 (7.4%)	48 (7.4%)	102 (7.2%)
w/Spouse	2	7	1	1	1	0	12 (1.8%)	12 (1.8%)	24 (1.7%)
Formal placement	4	3	1	6	9	4	27 (4.1%)	27 (4.1%)	33 (2.3%)
Independently	7	7	6	8	9	7	44 (6.7%)	44 (6.7%)	131 (9.3%)
Homeless	5	0	1	2	1	5	14 (2.1%)	14 (2.1%)	35 (2.5%)
w/Partner (in partner's family's home)	9	3	3	4	6	5	30 (4.6%)	30 (4.6%)	56 (4.0%)
Other	4	5	4	9	8	7	37 (5.7%)	37 (5.7%)	58 (4.1%)
TOTALS	118	100	81	121	125	108	653 (100.0%)	653 (100.0%)	1409 (100.0%)
Missing	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	2	7

Table 12
AGE BY LIVING ARRANGEMENT⁷

AGE BY LIVING ARRANGEMENT	FIRST SIX MONTHS - FISCAL YEAR 03				FY03 YTD % (N)	FY02 TOTAL % (N)
	% 16 Years and Under	% 17 Years	% 18 Years and Over	Total % (N)		
w/Parents	76.3	52.3	38.8	51.3 (327)	51.3 (327)	53.6 (739)
w/Guardian	6.4	4.5	2.5	3.9 (25)	3.9 (25)	4.1 (56)
w/Other relative	7.7	12.3	15.3	12.7 (81)	12.7 (81)	11.2 (154)
w/Partner	1.9	5.8	10.1	7.1 (45)	7.1 (45)	7.1 (98)
w/Spouse	0.0	0.0	3.7	1.9 (12)	1.9 (12)	1.7 (24)
Formal placement	3.2	7.1	3.4	4.2 (27)	4.2 (27)	2.4 (33)
Independently	0.0	3.2	11.6	6.7 (43)	6.7 (43)	9.4 (130)
Homeless	0.0	0.6	4.0	2.2 (14)	2.2 (14)	2.5 (34)
w/Partner (in partner's family's home)	1.3	7.7	4.3	4.4 (28)	4.4 (28)	4.0 (55)
Other	3.2	6.5	6.4	5.6 (36)	5.6 (36)	4.1 (57)
TOTALS (N)	100.0 (156)	100.0 (155)	100.0 (327)	100.0 (638)	100.0 (638)	100.0 (1380)

⁷ For the first six months of fiscal year 2002-2003, there were seventeen individuals for whom age and/or living arrangement were unknown. NOTE: For FY 01-02, there were thirty-six individuals for whom age and/or living arrangement were unknown.